

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/998,123	LIEM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.

(3) _____

(2) Derek J. Berger, for Applicant(s).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, first and second paragraphs (Final Rejection, dated 1/12/05)

Claims discussed:

6, 9

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested language in each of Claims 6 and 9 to avoid the 112 first and second paragraph rejections. The language was changed such that it would conform to the language used in the specification (p.10, lines 5-6). The applicant(s) have agreed to the changes attached herein by examiner's amendment and this now places the application in condition for allowance .